



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,806	12/12/2003	Karlheinz Bing	BING ET AL.-3	2790
25889	7590	03/19/2007		
WILLIAM COLLARD COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD ROSLYN, NY 11576			EXAMINER AFZALI, SARANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/734,806

Applicant(s)

BING ET AL.

Examiner

Sarang Afzali

Art Unit

3726

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE filed on 1/17/2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/17/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 & 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (WO 02/06658) and/or in alternative in view of Seilstorfer (DE 19648260 A1).

As applied to claims 1 & 5, WO 02/06658 discloses a method for the production of a forged piston for an internal combustion engine, the piston having a combustion depression provided on the piston head, comprising the steps of: forming the piston blank (10, Fig. 2) from a first cylindrical part (14, Fig. 3) having at least one flat face made of oxidation-resistant steel stainless steel) and a second cylindrical part (16, Fig.

Art Unit: 3726

3) having at least one flat face made of hot-forgeable steel (steel SE 4140), with the same diameters, said step of forming comprising: bringing together the parts at their faces and aligning them with respect to their diameters, so that the faces form a minimal projection and parting; connecting the two parts (12, Fig. 3); causing the combustion depression to be formed in the oxidation-resistant steel, and finishing the piston blank via machining to produce a piston ready for installation the internal combustion engine (Fig. 2).

WO 02/06658 teaches the invention cited including that the parts may be fixed in any suitable way. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have fixed the parts in WO 02/06658 using a seam weld obtained through electron beam welding, which is widely used in the art, in order to achieve the benefits of using such known and widely used welding technique.

In alternative, if the Applicant does not agree that WO 02/06658 teaches the seam welding, DE 19648260 A1 teaches a method of connecting two parts of a piston head wherein both parts are joined by an electron beam welding seam prior to machining (Abstract, lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have provided WO 02/06658 with a suitable means such as an electron beam seam welding as taught by DE 19648260 A1 in order to provide a suitable joint of the parts prior to being connected at the connecting surfaces by a hot forging step (Abstract, lines 1-5).

4. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT WO 02/06658 in view of DE 19648260 A1 and further in view of Rudd (U.S. 3,872,275).

As applied to claims 2 and 3, PCT WO 02/06658/ DE 19648260 A1 teaches the claimed invention with the exception of not explicitly teaching the step wherein the welding is done in a room temperature or in a heated state and the temperature range of 1100°C to 1300°C in the heated state.

However, Rudd teaches a method of forge welding with a continuous weld seam of two dissimilar metal parts in a heated state (wherein a temperature of at least 2000°F equivalent to 1093°C is reached) to forge weld the surfaces of the materials welded to each other (col. 2, lines 45-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have provided WO 02/06658/DE 19648260 A1 with a suitable welding technique as taught by Rudd to provide an effective means of welding a piston assembly.

As applied to claim 4, PCT WO 02/06658/DE 19648260 A1 teaches the claimed invention with the exception of not explicitly teaching the step wherein the heating takes place inductively.

However, Rudd teaches the heating takes place inductively by suitably configuring the induction coil and adjusting its position with respect to the desired weld line and by properly controlling the heating time to provide a continuous forge weld between the two metal parts without undesirable heating (col. 2, lines 19-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have provided WO 02/06658/DE 19648260 A1 with induction heating step as taught by Rudd

to obtain an effective rapid continuous weld seam and without causing undesirable heating hence preventing damage or harmful distortion of portions of the parts outside of the weld area (col. 2, lines 22-26).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 01/17/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

6. Applicant's arguments, see "Remarks", page 1, paragraphs (2 & 3), with respect to the objection to claims 1-5 and the rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection to claims 1-5 for informalities and the rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph have been withdrawn.

7. Under "Remarks", pages 2-4, with respect to WO 02/06658 and Seilstorfer references, Applicant argues that "WO 02/06658 discloses a process of making a piston head by hot forging two parts previously welded together. In spite of the statement that the two part may be fixed together in any suitable way (page 2, third paragraph, line 2), it is suggested to weld the parts together over the entire surface of their connecting faces, for example by flash butt welding, diffusion bonding or friction welding" and further argues that "Seilstorfer describes connecting two parts of a piston blank by producing a weld seam. However, the two parts in question are fixed together by isostatic pressing. This process is Completely different from a forging process, so that a person skilled in the art could not expect that the process according to the invention

Art Unit: 3726

would lead to a bubble-free as well as slag-free metallic bond between the two parts after forging. Combining the two references would not lead to the present invention, because neither reference teaches or suggests the specific welding and forging processes of the present invention.”

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above assertions and once again reiterates that WO 02/06658 reference is used to teach that piston heads are made from two-parts and hot forged together and Seilstorfer reference is used to teach that a piston head is formed by seam welding at the perimeter of the two parts together (at their circumference).

Note that WO 02/06658 teaches the two parts are fixed together in any suitable way (which Examiner construed as a seam weld at the circumference) regardless of subsequently being welded at the entire contact surfaces and also that Seilstorfer teaches the seam welding prior to the subsequent process of “isostatically pressing” of the two parts.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarang Afzali whose telephone number is 571-272-8412. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-3:30 M-F.

Art Unit: 3726

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on 571-272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S.A.

SA
3/12/2007



DAVID P. BRYANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

3/12/07